



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/916,955	07/27/2001	Demetri Giannopoulos	US010345 (7790/46)	9326

7590 11/20/2002

Corporate Patent Counsel  
U.S. Philips Corporation  
580 White Plains Road  
Tarrytown, NY 10591

[REDACTED] EXAMINER

POLK, SHARON A

[REDACTED] ART UNIT [REDACTED] PAPER NUMBER

2836

DATE MAILED: 11/20/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/916,955	GIANNOPoulos ET AL.
	Examiner Sharon Polk	Art Unit 2836

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on July 27, 2001.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.                  2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some \* c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____  |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)         | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                                    |

## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Claim Objections***

1. Claim 9 is objected to because of the following informalities: the claim recites a third load without first reciting a first and second load. The recited *said* second electric energy, and *said* fourth electric conductor lacks antecedent basis. Additionally, a source is recited, and it is unclear if this claimed source is the same source as claimed in claim 5, or an additional source. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 16 is objected to because of the following informality, a source is recited, and it is unclear if this claimed source is the claimed first power source, or second power source as claimed in claims 14 and 15, or an additional source.

Claim 17, is objected to because it is unclear which power source (line 8) is capacitively coupled to the third load. Similarly, like claim 16, it is unclear if this claimed source is the claimed first power source, or second power source, or an additional source. Additionally, there is a lack of antecedent basis regarding the recited **said** third load.

**The following art rejections are given as best understood.**

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

**Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Russell, US 5,422,519.**

Russell teaches:

A dual energy coupling device (fig. 4), comprising:

a first electric conductor; and

a second electric conductor,

wherein said first electric conductor is operable to transfer a magnetic energy and an electric energy across an interface to said second electric conductor in response to a reception of an alternating electric signal (abstract, 5:59-65, and 6:1-5).

**Claims 5-9, and 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jaeger, US 3,742,408.**

Regarding **claim 5**, Jaeger teaches:

A dual energy coupling device, comprising:

a first power source (10) operable to provide a first electric signal;

a first electric conductor (14) in electrical communication with said first power source to thereby receive the first electric signal when said first power source is providing the first electric signal; and

a second electric conductor (24),

wherein said first electric conductor is operable to transfer a first magnetic energy and a first electric energy across an interface to said second electric conductor in response to a reception of the first electric signal.

With regard to **claim 6**, Jaeger teaches a first load (20) in electrical communication with said second electric conductor (24),

wherein a current drive signal flows through said second electric conductor and said first load in response to a reception of said first magnetic energy by said second electric conductor.

With regard to claims 7 and 8, adding limitations of a second power source, third and fourth electrical conductors, and a second load; Jaeger teaches an inductively coupled connector wherein a plurality of sources and loads may be interconnected using the concept as recited in claim 5. See 1:58-61, figs. 1 & 8.

With regard to **claim 9**, Jaeger teaches a power source operable to provide a current control signal; and

a third load operable to be in electrical communication with said power source in response to a reception of said first electric energy by said second electric conductor and a reception of said second electric energy by said fourth electric conductor to thereby receive the current control signal when said power source is providing the current control signal (fig. 8, and 3:45-54, 4:1-8).

Regarding **claims 14 and 15**, Jaeger teaches:

a dual energy coupling device, comprising:

a first and second power source operable to provide a first electric signal;

a first load and second load;  
a means for inductively coupling said first or second power source and said first or second load when said first or second power source is providing the first or second electric signal (figs. 1 and 8).

**Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Inoh et al., US 5,521,573.**

Inoh et al. teach a dual energy coupling device, comprising:  
a first electric conductor (55a) having a spiral configuration; and  
a second electric conductor (55c) having a spiral configuration,  
wherein said first electric conductor and said second electric conductor are symmetrical relative to an interface (60).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation

under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

**Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Russell in view of Inoh et al., US 5,51,573.**

Russell lacks the teaching of said first electric conductor has a spiral configuration and said second electric conductor has a spiral configuration. However, Inoh et al. teach these features (7:5-36).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Russell with the teachings of Inoh et. for the purpose of providing an improved magnetic coupling, low loss, and high frequency characteristics when used as a transformer (2:55-58).

**Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Russell in view of Esser et al., US 5,814,900.**

Russell lacks the teaching of said first electric conductor and said second electric conductors are symmetrical relative to the interface. However, Esser et al. teach this feature (fig. 8).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Russell with the teachings of Esser et al. for the purpose of

providing a device for transmitting electrical energy with which simultaneously changing (control) signals may be transmitted between components that are adjustable, i.e., rotatable, displaceable, slidable or movable, relative to one another (1: 61-66).

**Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Russell and Ohno et al., US 6,087,694.**

Russell lacks the teaching of a first substrate including a corrugated surface having said first electric conductor formed thereon; and a second substrate includes a corrugated surface having said second electric conductor formed thereon.

Ohno et al. Teach first and second conductors, and the corrugation process on a substrate. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Russell with the teachings of Ohno et al. for the purpose of increasing or improving the surface area of the semiconductor device (2:61-62).

**Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jaeger in view of Inoh et al..**

Jaeger lacks the teaching of said first electric conductor has a spiral configuration and said second electric conductor has a spiral configuration. However, Inoh et al. teach these features (7:5-36).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Jaeger with the teachings of Inoh et al. for the purpose of providing an

improved magnetic coupling, low loss, and high frequency characteristics when used as a transformer (2:55-58).

**Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jaeger in view of Esser et al., US 5,814,900.**

Jaeger lacks the teaching of said first electric conductor and said second electric conductors are symmetrical relative to the interface. However, Esser et al. teach this feature (fig. 8).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Jaeger with the teachings of Esser et al. for the purpose of providing a device for transmitting electrical energy with which simultaneously changing (control) signals may be transmitted between components that are adjustable, i.e., rotatable, displaceable, slidable or movable, relative to one another (1: 61-66).

**Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jaeger in view of Ohno et al..**

Jaeger lacks the teaching of a first substrate including a corrugated surface having said first electric conductor formed thereon; and a second substrate includes a corrugated surface having said second electric conductor formed thereon.

Ohno et al. Teach first and second conductors, and the corrugation process on a substrate. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the

invention to modify Jaeger with the teachings of Ohno et al. for the purpose of increasing or improving the surface area of the semiconductor device (2:61-62).

**Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jaeger in view of Brown, US 4,893,332.**

With regard to claim 16, Jaeger teaches:

a power source (10);

a third load (fig. 8).

Jaeger lacks the express teaching of a means for capacitively coupling said power source and said third load when said first power source and said first load are inductively coupled and when said second power source and said second load are inductively coupled. However, Brown teaches capacitive coupling (2:26-37). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Jaeger with the teachings of Brown for the purpose of blocking any DC component on the line (2:29-30).

**Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jaeger in view of Brown.**

With regard to claim 17, Jaeger teaches:

a first power source (10) operable to provide a first electric signal;

a second power source (fig. 8) operable to provide a second electric signal;

a power source (fig. 8);

a load (20).

Jaeger lacks the express teaching of a means for capacitively coupling said power source and said third load when said first power source and said first load are inductively coupled and when said second power source and said second load are inductively coupled. However, Brown teaches capacitive coupling (2:26-37). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Jaeger with the teachings of Brown for the purpose of blocking any DC component on the line (2:29-30).

#### ***Prior Art***

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US Patent Nos. 5,804,892, 5,455,466, 5,856,710, 5,831,348 disclose similar coupling devices.

#### ***Communication with the PTO***

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sharon Polk whose telephone number is 703-308-6257. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus can be reached on 703-308-3119. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

\*\*\*

November 15, 2002

Sharon Polk  
Patent Examiner – Art Unit 2836



BRIAN SIRCUS  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800